Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/978,554	KASHIWAGI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Bob A. Phunkulh	2616
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Bob A. Phunkulh.	(3)	
(2) John R. Mattingly.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 26 June 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 23		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER As set forth in the Examiner's Amendment	AL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul>	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
	•	
135 A Hru		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		